



Meeting Minutes

Payson PCE and Tonto & Cherry Water Quality Assurance Revolving Fund (WQARF) Site Community Advisory Board Meeting

Tuesday, July 26, 2005
6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.
Payson Public Library
328 McLane Road
Payson, Arizona

FINAL MINUTES

Ref: PIOUS #06-016

CAB Members Present: Dr. Nel Gusimat, D.C., Roger Hattrup, Robin Morris, Jean Riddell,
Damian Wiseman

CAB Members Absent: John Shoemaker

ADEQ Staff in Attendance: Wendy Flood, Community Involvement Coordinator, Samantha
Roberts, Remedial Projects Unit Manager, Don Richey, Remedial Projects Section Manager,
Chris Gamache, Project Manager

Members of the Public Present: Nancy Kroening, Brook Davis, Sean Meares

The following matters were discussed, considered, and decided at the meeting:

1. Welcome and Introductions-

Mrs. Wendy Flood started the meeting at 7:15. The meeting was turned over to Dr. Nel Gusimat, CAB Co-Chair. Introductions were conducted of attendees as listed in these minutes.

2. Acceptance or Changes to February 18, 2004, May 25, 2004 and May 17, 2005 minutes -

Mr. Damian Wiseman questioned the minutes from May 25, 2005. Mr. Wiseman clarified that he was reading off of the May 2005 minutes that concerned February 18, 2004. He stated that in the minutes: "Mr. Morris has an issue with the February 18, 2004 minutes. He asked Mr. Haag if there was any MTBE leaving the plant after treatment and Mr. Haag stated no." Mr. Wiseman continued reading from the May 2005 minutes: "Mrs. Flood read the tapes and it confirmed that Mr. Haag said there was no MTBE. Mr. Haag said there was no MTBE. Then at the May meeting, Mr. Haag came back and informed the CAB that they need to amend the February meetings because there were trace amounts." Mr. Wiseman stated that the statement read was not in the February 2004

meeting minutes that were sent. Mr. Wiseman made a motion that they be amended and address the question.

Mr. Morris interrupted and asked Mr. Wiseman “all that it has to say is that Mr. Morris asked David Haag if there was any MTBE and he said no, that is all that has to be amended, right?” Mr. Wiseman answered, “Mr. Haag did come back to amend that there were trace amounts.”

Mrs. Flood stated that is what took place at the meeting, as stated by Mr. Wiseman. Mrs. Flood reminded the CAB, “The reason it came up in the first place was because it correlated to the original minutes, when Mr. Haag was around and the other community involvement coordinator was here. Now, what ADEQ did in response to this, the original minutes did not fully show what happened and ADEQ redrafted the minutes. They were resent to show a more accurate record of what happened at the February 18, 2004 minutes. That was some of the issue; what happened at the meeting and what the facts were.” Mr. Morris interrupted and stated that was what Mr. Wiseman was doing: he was referring to the February 18, 2004 minutes. Mrs. Flood asked all to look at the February 18, 2004 minutes first since Mr. Wiseman had a question about them. The minutes were retyped after listening to the tape.

Mrs. Flood stated, “The issue stems from a paragraph in the original minutes that Mr. Morris did not think was correct. We (ADEQ) offered to listen to the tape recording to find out the correct answer.” Mrs. Flood asked all to turn to page 2 of the February 18, 2004 minutes where the conversation took place. “That paragraph is from the tape and on the conversation of what was asked by Mr. Morris and the answer that Mr. Haag gave.” She asked for all to read that paragraph.

Mr. Morris stated he did, and it does not say it anywhere. “A sentence needs to be added.” Mrs. Flood interrupted stating “we can’t add something that didn’t take place”. Mr. Morris interrupted by responding, “It was confirmed on the minutes handed out”. Mr. Wiseman said that we were going back to 2005 and it said “that the tape confirms that Mr. Haag asked for the amendment to say trace amounts of MTBE, not any”. Mrs. Flood agreed with what Mr. Wiseman quoted from the minutes. In regard to the question, it was not seen in the February minutes.

Mrs. Flood told the CAB, “When the original minutes for February were sent out from the other ADEQ staff personnel, they were not a full representation of what took place at the meeting. There were other conversations taking place prior to the meeting, and Mr. Morris came in at the May 2004 meeting and he proposed an amendment to go in the February 2004 minutes, which you can see on the May 17, 2004 minutes. When Mr. Morris was proposing the amendment, Mr. Haag chimed in and asked for it to say trace, not any. Mr. Morris and Mr. Haag then went back and forth on what it should say. The minutes were approved, and when the next set of minutes came out, Mr. Morris felt it still was not right. That is why ADEQ redid all the minutes again and so, if we go in order, it will make more sense.” Mr. Wiseman agreed.

Mrs. Flood asked all to go to the February 18, 2004 minutes and to view it as if the other minutes had not taken place yet. Mr. Morris stated he couldn’t approve them. Mrs. Flood stated she didn’t know what to do because the February 18th, 2004 minutes were typed as they took place. Mr. Gamache clarified the point, “you (CAB) can not amend the

February minutes for something that has happened after the fact. If Mr. Haag said at the May meeting of 2004 that there were trace amounts, then that is reflected in the May 04 minutes not February 04 minutes.”

Mrs. Flood tried to explain the issue. Mr. Morris stated, “We (CAB) should have never amended anything and let it fall that way. And it would have said in there that Mr. Morris asked and Mr. Haag said “no”. That is what took place in February. As God is my witness. Where are you coming off with this? You (Mrs. Flood) say in the minutes that you listened to the tape for May 2004 and it says in there that Mr. Haag wants it to say trace, not none.” Mrs. Flood agreed. Mr. Morris continued “it means that he said none.” Mrs. Flood stated she was not disagreeing with what was said.

Mrs. Flood told Mr. Morris that was what happened in May, “What we are taking about right now is what happened before in February 2004, not May of 2004. What Mr. Wiseman has highlighted did happen in May 2004. But it did not happen in February and that is what we are all working on now.” Mr. Morris chimed in saying, “that proves what he said in February. That sentence proves what happened in February, what David Haag said in February.” Mrs. Flood reiterated that sentence was from May. Mr. Morris again stated that it was said in May 2004. Mrs. Flood commented “it was for the amendment that Mr. Morris brought up in 2004. Mr. Haag said it in May 2004 for the amendment.” Mrs. Flood stated again, “He’s saying instead of none, say trace amounts, because that is what took place in the February meeting.”

Mr. Gamache asked to speak and Mr. Morris told him he was not present and has nothing to say about it. Mrs. Flood stated that everyone was allowed to ask questions. Mr. Gamache was unclear why February 2004 needed to state what the May 2004 minutes already state. Whatever the miscommunication is will be clarified in the next meetings minutes. The minutes will reflect it.” Mr. Morris suggested to the CAB to have the tape brought up and he would transcribe the whole thing. He would bring it to the meeting and the CAB would vote on what he transcribed from minutes from the tape. Then he would feel nothing would have to be amended. Then it could be approved from that meeting. Mr. Hatstrup asked to do something and get some action because no progress was being made. “We can’t rewrite history” Mr. Hatstrup said. Dr. Nel asked, “Can the CAB move to not accept the minutes?” Mr. Wiseman thought the CAB could move to have them amended. Mrs. Flood thought that perhaps they could stay in draft form.

Mr. Morris had a motion for the board, “I make a motion that they (ADEQ) bring the tape up to me and I sit and transcribe the two hours worth of tape. Type it out the way it is word for word. With lawyer documents, legal transcription, line for line. I’ll sit as long as it takes, and transcribe the whole meeting. Then we will bring it to our next meeting and decide then. That will be the actual meeting.” Mr. Wiseman interjected, “it only seems to be one section.” Mr. Morris continued, “I make a motion, does anybody second the motion? Would you like more discussion?” Mrs. Flood clarified that a second to the motion was needed before discussion could proceed. Mr. Wiseman seconded. Mrs. Riddle stated, “I do not see any point in transcribing the entire meeting. Go to the section where it is in question, bring the tape, we will all listen to it”. Mrs. Flood stated she had the tapes with her. Mrs. Riddle felt that if they could just listen to that section verbally, it would settle and resolve everything.

Mr. Wiseman asked if the tape could be queued just to the part in question. Mrs. Flood responded and reminded the CAB that there was a motion on the floor. Mr. Wiseman asked Mr. Morris if he really wanted to transcribe the entire meeting. Mr. Morris said "if she comes back and says she can't find the tape, then yes, I do". Again, Mrs. Flood stated that she had the tape at the meeting. Mr. Richey suggested listening to the tape at the meeting. Mr. Morris asked to hold on his motion until after the CAB listened to the tape. Mrs. Flood officially asked for a copy of Mr. Morris' recording since in order to listen to the tape, she would not be able to record what happens with the transcriber. He agreed.

(CAB listens to the tape.) Mrs. Flood stated that was the conversation on MTBE at the February 2004 meeting. Mr. Morris stated, "That was the Texaco station, not when I asked him (Mr. Hagg) about after treatment at the plant from the PCE plant after treatment, I think it was at the end of the meeting."

Mr. Morris offered "to approve them the way they are. But I want her to leave the tape, and I will find a halftime recorder and will listen to that tape. If I find in the tape, at the end I believe, where I asked David Haag if there was any MTBE leaving the plant after treatment and he said no. If I find it on the tape, the CAB comes back and we make a note in that meeting, on those minutes, that it will be said that the February 18 minutes were wrong. That I listened to the tape, I'll queue it right to the right part. If it is not on that tape, then fine, it is approved tonight. If I find that it is, we come back and we correct that error." Mr. Wiseman asked "can we (CAB) do that". Mr. Morris responded "Sure we can, we can do whatever we want. We are the board."

Dr. Nel stated that she had a question on what was said tonight. Dr. Nel read a part in the minutes and to her understanding, it was a question about MTBE, not verification. She wondered if that was the issue. Mr. Morris answered that during that meeting the Texaco station was being discussed in the part that was just listened to by the CAB. There was another paragraph where he asked about the plant and the effluent coming out of the plant. Mrs. Flood asked where that was. He stated it was not in the minutes. Mrs. Roberts said it was on page 4.

Dr. Nel stated "as far as what we have just heard, it is not in there (the tape)," Mr. Morris said he has not heard it yet. He said it was a different area in the meeting. Dr. Nel stated "to verifying that on page 2 of 6 of the February 18, 2004 minutes it is accurate to what is on the tape; that is clear. Mr. Morris says that he didn't verify that there was MTBE. That is what I am asking, that is a possible question. Maybe that can be clarified later."

Mr. Morris still wants to listen to the tape. Mr. Wiseman asked if the CAB could just approve the minutes. Mr. Morris stated again that, "I would like to listen to the tape. I don't want everyone to stay there all night. I will listen to it during the week; find a machine that will play the tape, even if I have to buy one."

Mr. Richey asked if there was a copy. Mrs. Flood stated no. Mr. Morris interjected that "she has no way of making copies. How can we keep accurate records?" Mr. Richey offered comments and asked staff why halftime recording was used. The answer he received from staff was that it was easier to transcribe and listen to recordings in half time. Mr. Richey agreed "if there is no reason for it then I agree there should be other means to take note of the meetings. ADEQ will get new equipment." Mrs. Riddle interrupted "She (Mrs. Flood) has to work with what ever the state supplies her with."

Mr. Richey said a new one (recorder) could be bought. Mrs. Riddle said “if you (Mr. Morris) take the machine and you listen and you type everything out word for word. And then you (Mrs. Flood) do it from another machine, then you make your decision, that is fair.”

Mr. Richey stated, “After this came up, I came tonight, because this is a big ideal to us (ADEQ). The CAB’s are what make the sites work. They are the ones that are not only hearing what is proposed, but if it is good for the community. We hope that you take it back out and disseminate it and talk with other people. These are very important and are a big piece of the program. It is built into the statute. DEQ helped write the statute to make sure it was a piece of it. I am concerned, and asked my staff to listen to the tape. I hired Sammi because she is a person who can get the job done and hired Wendy because they are both trustworthy. Of course you can listen to it, but ADEQ listened to it and there was nothing on there. I asked them to make sure the minutes were right. I trust my staff. If you want to listen to it, go for it. Let’s get past all the trust issues. If it is an issue of earning trust, then we will do what ever we can to earn it.”

Mr. Morris responded, “The biggest earn of trust would be, if a board member wants to listen to a tape, then you (ADEQ) should have the type of media that can be handed to them so they can take it home and listen to it. I feel that 90% of the trust issues would be eliminated.”

Mr. Richey responded that this issue had never come up before in any other CAB in any other aspect. Mr. Morris interjected he’d be willing to say that what goes on in Payson and other places is different. Mr. Richey agreed that every CAB is different. Mr. Morris interjected, “for ADEQ to tell me in a report that I have to pay for copies of information that I am supposed to disseminate to the public is wrong.” Mr. Gamache interrupted because the CAB was getting off topic. Mr. Richey stated that issue would come up later in the meeting.

Mr. Richey stated, “in terms of this issue, Mrs. Flood has offered to come up so that you don’t have to buy anything.” Mrs. Flood stated that Mr. Morris had asked to listen to the tape and she gave him options for doing so and he never responded back. Mr. Morris stated he was disabled and can’t go to the ADEQ office. Mr. Richey stated that “Wendy could have come up (to Payson).” Mr. Morris stated “you could have come up?” Mrs. Flood responded that she told him that when he requested the tape. Mr. Richey verified that the offer was made. Mr. Morris verified that he misunderstood the e-mail he received. Mr. Richey let Mr. Morris know that the offer to come to Payson still stands.

Dr. Gusimat stated, “The CAB is present to accept or change the minutes, the February 18 minutes. We have heard the portion of the tape that regards the paragraph in question. The content we expected to hear was not there so the only thing that I question is; Did Mr. Morris verify that it (MTBE) was there or was he questioning if it was there? That is the only change I can see. If he did verify it, then the minutes stand. If it was questioned, then we (CAB) need to make the changes. What was transcribed is what is reflected. That is all that we can do.”

Mr. Morris said it was in a different spot on the tape. Mr. Morris told the CAB “I will let

it all go, this time. I can see that everyone is tired of it. I want this on the minutes and it is the fifth time I have said this and it has not been in any of the minutes. The only reason I have brought this issue up over, and over again. I care about this town. I care about this issue, MTBE. Twenty years from now or whatever time from now that we find out that people get cancer, kids get leukemia and they find out it was related to MTBE and they look back at these records. I want them to see that there was one person pushing for total disclosure! Will that be in the minutes this time?"

Mrs. Flood said yes. She also informed him that his statement was put in the May 25, 2004 minutes.

Mr. Sean Meares stated this is the second meeting he has been to, "I understand the frustration, but you have to either approve or not approve it and keep going. This has been an hour, last time was an hour".

Mr. Morris moved to approve the February 18, 2004 minutes as written. It was seconded by Mr. Wiseman. Dr. Nel wanted to know "once it is approved it is on the public record?" Mrs. Flood answered yes, as the final. There was no more discussion. It was passed as written.

The May 25, 2004 minutes were the next set of minutes to discuss. Dr. Nel enjoyed the detail, and it helped to refresh her memory. There were some unclear areas on who said what:

Page 1 of 6, last paragraph; it was a matter of past and present tense only,

Page 3 of 6, third paragraph; it was unclear who made some statements. Was that still Mr. Haag that is speaking? Mrs. Flood will add Mr. Haag names to the paragraph where he was stated as speaking.

Page 5 of 6, 2nd paragraph; in the sentence about when to have the next meeting, who was speaking? Was it Mr. Morris or the community involvement coordinator? Mrs. Flood said she would clarify those parts.

Those were the only questions from Dr. Nel. There was no other discussion.

Mrs. Flood asked the CAB if they wanted to have the minutes approved with the corrections now or have the issues clarified and then resubmitted for approval. Dr. Nel moved to approve the minutes, with the corrections as stated with names for the speakers; Page 1 of 6, last paragraph, page 3 of 6 third paragraph, page 5 of 6 second paragraph.

Mr. Morris seconded the motion, and it was approved.

The May 17, 2005 minutes were discussed. Mr. Morris asked if there were questions on the minutes. Dr. Nel stated she had some questions.

Page 4 of 8, 1st paragraph, 5th sentence; ADEQ was referenced as answering a question. She was confused. Mrs. Flood stated that all ADEQ references would be changed to Mr. Gamache or the correct staff member.

Page 5 of 8, 2nd paragraph; the "quorum is half plus one" statement is unclear as to who said it? Mrs. Flood stated she would clarify the sentence.

Page 7 of 8, 1st paragraph; after the sentence, "who does the sampling?" Mr. Haag responded with an answer. The statement Mr. Morris made, was it a statement or a quote? There was a misunderstanding about what Mr. Morris said. Dr. Nel felt like it was

an overview and would like it clarified.

Mrs. Flood asked for other comments. Dr. Nel moved to approve, with the corrections as stated. Mr. Wiseman seconded, and it was approved.

3 Discussion and Voting on CAB Co-Chairs –

Mrs. Flood stated according to the charter, July is the time to re-vote on CAB Co-Chairs. They can hold a term of one year or more if the CAB decides. Currently the CAB has Dr. Nel Gusimat and Mr. Robin Morris as CAB Co-Chairs. Mrs. Flood asked if the CAB wanted them to continue as Co-Chairs and if they (Mr. Morris and Dr. Nel) wanted to continue as Co-Chairs.

Dr. Nel stated that she had committed herself to several business projects. She would rather not continue as Co-Chair, but would be able to continue as a CAB member. Mr. Wiseman asked Mr. Morris if he would continue as a CAB Co-Chair. Mr. Morris stated he would.

Mrs. Flood summarized that Mr. Morris had offered to continue as a CAB Co-Chair. The CAB is supposed to have two co-chairs, and she asked the other CAB members if they'd like to aspire or nominate someone to fill the vacant position. Mr. Morris stated that in the past there had only been one chair. Mrs. Riddle asked who was absent and Mrs. Flood stated Mr. Shoemaker was absent. She asked if the CAB would hold off and ask him if he would be interested in the position. Mrs. Flood suggested the CAB vote on the one chair now and then ask Mr. Shoemaker and vote on him at the following meeting.

Mr. Wiseman moved to have Mr. Morris continue as CAB co-chair. Mr. Hatstrup seconded and the motion was passed.

2. Discussion and voting on new CAB member Sean Meares –

Mrs. Flood asked the CAB if all had read the application mailed to them and if there were questions for Mr. Meares. Mr. Morris asked him why he wanted to be on the CAB. Mr. Meares responded that Mr. Morris told him he had to. Mr. Meares stated he was concerned about the water quality in Payson. He stated he was not in favor of big government, but does expect them to take care of us and this is part of that. Mr. Morris added that he is really involved in the community and a hard worker; he's self-employed, volunteers in the community, and he and his wife take kids from the courts for temporary care. They are foster parents and are really high up there on the book in terms of community involvement.

Dr. Nel moved to accept Mr. Meares as a CAB member. It was seconded by Mr. Morris, and the motion passed. Mr. Meares stated the spelling of his name was wrong and stated the correct spelling of his name, Meares.

3. Discussion on CAB letter to the Payson Town Council –

Dr. Nel stated that a letter had not been drafted. The information in the packet (WQARF Board Report) given to them with e-mails, had answered some of the questions of Mr. Morris. Mr. Morris asked for discussion. Mr. Morris stated that "You (ADEQ) have already made the suggestion to Karen Probert and the town to send a letter to the citizens to clarify the issue. They (ADEQ) have already done what we (CAB) were going to do. That is all I wanted."

Mrs. Roberts clarified that ADEQ made a suggestion. Mr. Morris stated “that is all the CAB would have asked, so it has already been taken care of. The issue is done. They (Town of Payson) are not going to do it, but the suggestion was made. I am upset that ADEQ would not do a press release.” Mr. Morris stated he went back through the Round Up newspaper and there were five or six ADEQ press releases done. Mr. Morris stated, “Don’t tell me you can’t do press releases no more, Samantha. We can move on unless anyone else feels the CAB needs to still do it.” Mr. Morris summarized, “that Mrs. Roberts talked with Karen Probert, stated in the report, and it was suggested that the town send a letter out clarifying the issue of the 2004 Water Quality report saying that there were trace amount of MTBE leaving the plant after treatment. They (ADEQ) already done that and that is what the CAB letter would have done.”

Mrs. Roberts clarified her suggestion to Mrs. Probert: “In regard to the reports in the CCR, there needed to be clarification where it said that there weren’t any MTBE traces. I suggested that portion be clarified and explained what that means.” Mr. Morris stated, “They should have told the people that there were traces amounts that left the plant after treatment and they never did; they are not going to do anything anyway even if we do write a letter.” Mr. Hatstrup clarified who “they” were. Mr. Morris stated, “Karen Probert and Buzz Walker. The Town of Payson, they won’t do anything anyway.”

Mrs. Flood asked if the CAB still wanted to write the letter. It was voted on at the last meeting and the CAB needed to decide the action they would take. Mr. Hatstrup suggests to send it certified receipt, and to have somebody responsible. He suggests publicizing that information and making somebody more responsible than presently for the letter. Mr. Morris responded “the problem is that ADEQ didn’t want to step in the lime light and legal aspect of it and liability end of it. I don’t think that Dr. Nel or I should have to do it either. We will play the same game you folks (ADEQ) are playing. You want to ride the fence; we will ride the fence too. We have discussed this and will ride the fence post too. This is your (ADEQ) job and you’re here to protect and serve us, period.” Mr. Morris continued, “If there were trace amounts leaving that plant and the Town of Payson quality water assurance report didn’t say it, even though there is this no standard. If there is no standard and if it is not a big deal, then why the big deal? You should have told them to do it, but I understand. You told them not to deepen the McKamey well, they did it anyway.”

Mr. Hatstrup states that Mr. Morris is an elected officer of this committee and is suppose to speak for the CAB

(Tape was flipped over)

Mr. Morris commented “citizens on the streets ask me for water reports, analytic water reports. I requested them and do you know what they are doing? It is in the report you (CAB) got. They want me to pay \$0.50 a copy for it. I’m an elected official and they want me to pay for it. We are going to try and change Arizona state law because of that”. Mrs. Flood continued the conversation to stay on topic of the letter, and asked what the feeling of the CAB was towards the letter. Mrs. Flood asked the CAB if they still wanted to write the letter with the suggestion of action, even though ADEQ already did or did they want to drop it because ADEQ already made the suggestion to the Town of Payson

council? Dr. Nel stated that most of the CAB concerns had been addressed in the Payson WQARF Board report.

Mr. Richey stated this was addressed at the department, "I do not understand the requirements for the Consumer Confidence Reports (CCR) and Sammi went and asked the section that deals with them what the requirements are? They said there was no wrong doing on the part of Payson. If they have not done anything wrong, what can we tell them to do? Are they being straight forward, I do not know. Sammi talked to them because of the comments you (CAB) made. It would be a good idea to clarify in the (CCR)." Mr. Morris interjected, "we just want them to say there were trace amounts," Mr. Richey continued, "I'm not disagreeing with you, I'm just responding as a DEQ official. They have not done anything wrong, and we can't have them do something different. We are not riding the fence, as you (Mr. Morris) commented. We are not sure what we can or should do. We did what you asked. If the community has a concern we try to answer the concerns. But sometimes there is nothing wrong, what would the DEQ do against that entity". Mr. Morris interjected, "ADEQ had a press release in 1999. They shut the plant down because there were trace amounts going in to the city water system." Mr. Richey responded "right, but," Mr. Morris continued, "there were trace amounts. ADEQ held a press release. I think it would be righteous. Town of Payson did not say that there were trace amounts, why did ADEQ say in 1999 there were trace amount leaving the plant and held a press release in the Payson Round Up. You can go and read it in the archives. I reference that article and that is all I wanted. People have a right to know what is in the water."

Mr. Richey responded, "The one thing that came out in the report, I can summarize, they found trace amount for a one year period of time, and they never have seen it since in the system itself. So the issue is gone, but we are concerned with it for public health and for the treatment system. MTBE changes the operating of the system. When you have concerns, ask ADEQ, like you asked David, and we will get you the answers. If there are questions with the Town and the system, at least the part where DEQ is a part of, we will get those answers for you. In regard to future sampling, ask us the question and we will see if we can get you the answers. We did spend, Chris and Sammi, a ton of time trying to find out this issue and what is going on, because we want to answer your questions. In dealing with a public water system where they have their own requirements and what they have to follow, they are the appropriate people to answer the question. Keep asking questions."

Mr. Morris informed Mr. Richey, "that when you go to the town and ask for copies of various things, they never give you what you want. Especially when you know it is there. They say they can't find it or it is not there." Mr. Richey let Mr. Morris that when you work in a bureaucracy like ADEQ it does happen. Files are huge.

Dr. Nel interrupted and entertained a motion that the items left on the agenda be tabled till the next meeting because it was late. Mrs. Flood clarified that the rest of the discussion for number 5 and number 6 on the agenda be tabled until the next meeting. Dr. Nel stated yes. Mrs. Flood stated that the CAB will still do the call to the public, future plans/agenda topics, and adjournment. Dr. Nel motioned that items 5 and 6 be tabled for September. Mr. Morris seconded, and it was passed.

7. Call to the Public-

Ms. Nancy Kroening stated the meeting was interesting. Ms. Kroening stated she looked at the water reports when she was planning on moving here. She thought the report was good and people did pay attention to them. If she was going to give water to her family, she wanted to know what is in it. She commented that of all the work is greatly appreciated and thanked the CAB for good work.

Mr. Gamache reported the repository was checked, and all major milestone reports were there. The March 2005 groundwater monitoring (GW) reports were added in PDF format on a CD. They were not to be taken from the public repository; however the computers in the library will open the files. The library rules on printing must be followed if printed copies are needed. This will be done from this point forward for GW reports and system performance reports. Analytical reports will also be included. There were two reports on the CD and hopefully soon the format would be condensed into one report. For past data, it was too complicated and time consuming to put it on CD.

8. Future Meeting Plans and Agenda Discussion-

Mrs. Flood recalled for the CAB that items number five and six will be on the September agenda. The project manager would have an update on Payson PCE and Tonto/Cherry.

Mr. Morris asked for discussion on the web site and wanted to discuss putting the Payson PCE WQARF report on the web site. Mr. Morris asked that availability of historical minutes be an agenda item as well.

Mrs. Flood stated she will do a CAB presentation on history, role of members, etc. The next meeting date was set for September 13. Mr. Gamache stated he will have June sampling results at the next meeting. The CAB decided to have the next meeting at the library.

Mrs. Riddle wanted to know who the person/people were in Payson that had something to do with getting the board together.

9. Adjournment

Mr. Hatstrup moved and Mrs. Riddle seconded, and the meeting was adjourned.